

Original Research Article

Response of *Kharif* Sunflower to Biofertilizers and Different Fertilizer Levels

S.D. Khandekar*, A.K. Ghotmukale, A.S. Dambale and S.B. Suryawanshi

Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Latur, Vasantnao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2016-2017 on vertisol soil at Oilseeds Research Station, Latur to study the “Response of biofertilizers on the performance of hybrid sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) in *kharif* season”. The experimental field was levelled and well drained. The soil was clayey in texture, low in available nitrogen (188.8 kg ha⁻¹), and medium in phosphorus (14.82 kg ha⁻¹) and rich in available potassium (588.72kg ha⁻¹). The soil was alkaline in reaction having soil pH (8.0). Result revealed that application of 100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter* (T₉) recorded the highest seed yield (1848 kg ha⁻¹) with net monetary return (₹34313) and B: C ratio (1.96).

Keywords

Sunflower,
Fertilizer,
Biofertilizer

Introduction

Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is one of the fastest growing and important vegetable oilseed crops in the world, native to southern parts of USA and Mexico and ranks fourth next to groundnut, soybean and rapeseed. Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is a temperate zone crop but it can perform well under varying climatic and soil conditions. In world it is cultivated on area of 18.12 million hectares with an annual production and productivity of 22.03 million tonnes and 1216 kg per hectare, respectively (Anon. 2014-2015). Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) is one of the most important oilseed crops in India, grown in an area of 0.55M ha with a production of 0.42 M.T. and productivity of 753 kg ha⁻¹ respectively (Anon.2014-2015). In India soil fertility is

diminishing gradually due to soil erosions, loss of nutrition, accumulation of toxic elements, water logging and unbalanced nutrient compensation. Organic manure and bio fertilizers are the alternate sources to meet the nutrient requirement of crops. Among biofertilizers, benefiting the crops are *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Phosphobacter* and *Rhizobacter* are very important. Biofertilizer referred to living microorganisms, symbiotic and asymbiotic way of supplying nutrients to plants.

The asymbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and *Rhizobacter* lead to significant improvement in crops yield by 15- 20% while reducing the depletion of soil nutrients. Efficiency of

different biofertilizers *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter* and *Rhizobium* with and without suboptimal levels of nitrogen (0, 15 and 75 kg/ha) and recommended level of N revealed that the application of 75 kg N/ha supplemented by *Azospirillum* (or) *Azotobacter* (or) *Rhizobium* was found to be more efficient in influencing the seed yield. It showed a significant increase in SSH-1 and the increased yield was statistically in KBSH-1 as compared to the application of recommended level of 100 Kg N alone/ha. Sunflower oil contains large amount of vitamins (A, D, E and K) and considerable amount of proteins (20 -40%). Biofertilizer can increase the soil fertility, the seed yield and its oil content (Dhanasekar and Dhandapani, 2012).

Biofertilizers plant resistant to adverse environmental stresses. The beneficial effect of *Azotobacter* is to fix the atmospheric nitrogen. It increases the seed germination, plant growth and yield. In certain condition they also exhibit antifungal activities and there by fungal disease may be controlled indirectly. *Azospirillum* also fix the atmospheric nitrogen and stimulates the effect on root development. It has ability to reduce nitrite and denitrify, also increase protein percentage.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during 2016-2017 on vertisol at Oilseeds Research Station, Latur to study the "Response of biofertilizers on the performance of hybrid sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) in kharif season". The topography of experimental field was uniform and leveled. The soil was clayey in texture, low in available nitrogen (118.8 kg ha⁻¹), medium in phosphorus (14.82 kg ha⁻¹) and very high in available potassium (588.72 kg ha⁻¹) and alkaline in nature having soil pH 8.0. The adequate

amount of rainfall during period of experiment was sufficient for significant for growth and development of sunflower crop which result in significantly higher yield. Overall the thermo-aero-hydro-dynamic properties during crop season were also favorable for physiological activities of crop and its phenophysic development. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with nine treatments replicated thrice.

The treatments included T₁ (Control), T₂ (75% N), T₃ (100% N), T₄ (75% N + *Azospirillum* seed treatment), T₅ (75% N + *Azotobacter* seed treatment), T₆ (75% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter* seed treatment), T₇ (100% N + *Azospirillum* seed treatment), T₈ (100% N + *Azotobacter* seed treatment) and T₉ (100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter* seed treatment). The gross and net plot size of each experimental unit was 5.4 x 4.5 m and 4.2 x 3.9 m respectively. Sowing was done on 23rd July, 2016 by dibbling the seeds at spacing 60 x 30 cm. The recommended cultural practices and plant protection measures were taken. As per treatments of bio-fertilizers and dose of nitrogen along with full dose of phosphorus and potassium was applied as a basal dose and remaining 50 per cent dose of nitrogen was applied at 30 days after sowing and crop was harvested at 23rd October, 2016.

Results and Discussion

Growth attributes

Plant height

The effect of different treatments on plant height was found to be significant and the higher plant height was recorded with the integrated application of treatment T₉ (100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) was found significantly superior than all other

treatments. The significant treatment was found at par with treatment T₆ (75% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*), T₈ (100% N + *Azotobacter*), T₇ (100% N + *Azospirillum*) and T₃ (100% N). The control treatment T₁ has lowest plants. The similar results were given by Mohsen Javahery *et al.*, (2011), Radwan, *et al.*, (2013), Tuba Mirparsa *et al.*, (2016).

Number of functional leaves

Data in respect of number of functional leaves plant⁻¹ as influenced by different treatments at various growth stages. The application of treatment T₉ (100 % N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) bears maximum number of functional leaves plant⁻¹ at 60 DAS i.e.27.33. Which was at par with application of T₆ (75 % N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) and T₈ (100% N + *Azotobacter*), and was found significantly superior over the rest of the treatments. It shows that the difference in number of functional leaves was real effect of applied treatments.

Stem girth (cm)

The effect of different treatments on stem girth was found to be significant and the higher stem girth was recorded with the treatment T₉ (100 % N+ *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) was found significantly superior over all other treatments, except treatment T₆ (75 % N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) were found at par with the significant treatment. The results are in line with M.M. Keshta *et al.*, (2006), Mohsen Javahery *et al.*, (2011), Amin Farnia *et al.*, (2015).

Leaf area (dm²)

Data on mean leaf area per plant as influenced by different treatments are

presented in Table 1. The treatments T₉ (100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) was found maximum leaf area than any other treatment, except T₆ (75%N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*), T₈ (100% N + *Azotobacter*) and T₇ (100% N + *Azospirillum*) which were at par with the significant treatment. The maximum leaf area was found at 60 DAS (50.67 dm²).

The lowest leaf area due to less number of leaves was found for treatment T₁ (control). The results are coincides with Nandhagopal *et al.*, (2003), Rubapunthavathy *et al.*, (2006),

Leaf area index

The data on leaf area index (LAI) as influenced by various treatments are presented in Table 1. The maximum leaf area index (2.81) was recorded at 60 DAS. For T₉ (100% N+ *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*).

The effect of treatment T₉ recorded higher LAI (2.81) for 60 DAS as compared to other treatments.

Yield attributes

The effect of different treatments was noticed on important yield attributes viz., number of filled seed plant⁻¹ (%), number of unfilled seed plant⁻¹, grain yield (g plant⁻¹) and test weight (g) was influenced due to integrated application of organic and inorganic fertilizers.

Number of filled seeds plant⁻¹

The effect of nitrogen levels and *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* through different treatments on number of filled seeds per plant was significant. The mean numbers of filled seeds per plant were 671.

Table.1 Effect of biofertilizer and different fertilizer levels on growth attributes of sunflower

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No. of functional leaves plant ⁻¹	Stem girth (cm)	Leaf area (dm ²)	Leaf area index
T ₁ – control	136.86	20.60	6.20	40.58	2.25
T ₂ –75% Nitrogen	141.36	22.67	6.38	42.41	2.35
T ₃ –100% Nitrogen	153.90	24.27	6.84	46.95	2.60
T ₄ –75% N + Azospirillum	146.67	23.20	6.52	44.11	2.45
T ₅ –75% N + Azotobacter	150.08	24.13	6.73	45.75	2.54
T ₆ –75% N + Azospirillum + Azotobacter	166.92	26.53	7.75	48.97	2.72
T ₇ –100% N + Azospirillum	157.94	24.80	7.01	47.64	2.64
T ₈ – 100% N + Azotobacter	161.75	25.07	7.25	48.22	2.67
T ₉ –100%N+Azospirillum +Azotobacter	171.20	27.33	7.96	50.67	2.81
SE±	6.72	1.25	0.37	1.79	2.55
C.D.at 5%	20.16	3.75	1.11	5.37	2.25
General Mean	154.08	24.29	6.96	46.14	2.35

Table.2 Effect of Biofertilizer and Different fertilizer levels on yield attributes of sunflower

Treatments	Seed yield kg plant ⁻¹	No. of filled seeds plant ⁻¹	No. of unfilled seeds plant ⁻¹	Seed yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Test weight (g)
T ₁ – control	705.33	441	166	23.94	45.47
T ₂ –75% Nitrogen	1300.00	605	142	28.56	46.74
T ₃ –100% Nitrogen	1383.87	665	129	29.55	47.26
T ₄ –75% N + Azospirillum	1341.33	644	141	29.42	46.77
T ₅ –75% N + Azotobacter	1343.00	654	134	28.76	47.05
T ₆ –75% N + Azospirillum +Azotobacter	1784.67	774	102	38.47	49.05
T ₇ –100% N + Azospirillum	1667.00	714	127	35.48	48.16
T ₈ – 100% N + Azotobacter	1699.00	742	124	36.65	48.32
T ₉ –100%N+Azospirillum + Azotobacter	1848.00	802	99	38.81	49.72
SE±	60.14	33	5	1.77	2.19
C.D.at 5%	180.29	99	15	5.32	NS
General Mean	1452.41	671	129	32.18	47.67

Treatment T₉ (100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) recorded maximum number of filled seed per plant (802) and it found significantly superior over treatments T₁ (Control), T₂ (75% N), T₃ (100%), T₄ (75% N + *Azospirillum*) and T₅ (75% N + *Azotobacter*) but it was at par with treatment T₆ (75% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*), T₈ (100%N + *Azotobacter*) and T₇ (100% N + *Azospirillum*) treatment. The lowest number of filled seeds per plant was recorded for treatment T₁ i.e. (Control) followed by T₂ (75% N) seed treatments. The similar results were reported by Shehata *et al.*, (2003), Nandhagopal *et al.*, (2003), Pramanik *et al.*, (2013), Amin Farnia *et al.*, (2014) and Sana Abdaslam *et al.*, (2016).

Unfilled seeds plant⁻¹

The data on number of unfilled seeds per plant are presented in Table 2. The mean number of unfilled seeds per plant were 129. The effect of nitrogen levels and bio-fertilizers (*Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*) on number of unfilled seeds per plant was found to be significant treatment T₉ (100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) recorded lowest number of unfilled seeds per plant (99), which was at par with treatment T₆ (75% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*). The maximum number of unfilled seeds per plant was found for treatment T₁ (Control) which is 166 followed to by T₂ (75% N) seed treatment.

Seed yield plant⁻¹

The data on mean seed yield plant⁻¹ (g) were presented in Table 2. The mean seed yield per plant was 32.18 g. The effect of nitrogen levels and bio-fertilizers through different treatment on seed yield plant⁻¹ was significant. The treatment T₉ (100 % N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) recorded maximum seed yield (38.81 g plant⁻¹) which

was at par with T₆ (75%N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*), T₈ (100%N + *Azotobacter*) and T₇ (100% N + *Azospirillum*) treatment. The lowest seed yield per plant was recorded by treatment T₁ (23.94 g) followed by other treatments.

Test weight (g)

The data on test weight (1000 seed weight) as influenced by various treatments are presented in Table 2. The mean test weight of seed was 47.67 gm. An application of nitrogen levels and bio-fertilizers through different treatments influenced the test weight was not significantly. The treatment T₉ (100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) recorded highest test weight (49.72 g) and the lowest test weight 45.47 (g) was recorded T₁.

Seed yield kg ha⁻¹

The data pertaining to seed yield are presented in Table 2 and graphically depicted in Fig. 5. The mean seed yield was 1452.41 kg ha⁻¹. Response of nitrogen levels *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* treatments on seed yield was significant.

The highest seed yield was for treatment T₉ (100% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) 1848 kg ha⁻¹ which was significantly superior over treatment T₁ (Control), T₂ (75% N), T₃ (100% N), T₄ (75% N + *Azospirillum* treatment), T₅ (75% N + *Azotobacter*) and T₇ (100% N + *Azospirillum*) and at par with T₆ (75% N + *Azospirillum* + *Azotobacter*) and T₈ (100% N + *Azotobacter*). The lowest seed yield was observed for T₁ (705 kg ha⁻¹) control treatment. These findings are in confirmative with those reported by Keshta *et al.*, (2006), Madhurendra *et al.*, (2009), Rahim Naseri *et al.*, (2010), Dhanasekar *et al.*, (2012), Amin Farnia *et al.*, (2015), Khan

et al., (2016) and Tuba Mirparsa *et al.*, (2016).

References

- A.O.A.C 1975. Official Methods of Analysis in the association of Official Agricultural Chemist (A.O.A.C.), 12th Edn. Assoc. Official Agril. Chemist., Washington, D.C. pp: 564-596.
- Abdaslam Sana, (Dr.) Lal E.P. and Singh Chandra Kishore 2016. Effect of bio-fertilizers on growth and yield of sunflower comparison in indolybian natural condition. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 9(6) Ver. II, 07-14.
- Dhanasekar, R. and Dhandapani, R. 2012. Effect of biofertilizers on the growth of *Helianthus annuus*. *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences*, 2(4).
- Farnia Amin and Moayedi Mehrdad 2014. Effect of phosphate and nitrogen bio fertilizers on yield, yield components, oil and protein in sunflower (*helianthus annus* L.). *Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci.*, 3: 110-117.
- Farnia Amin and Moayedi Mehrdad 2015. Study on some morphological characteristics and phenological stages of sunflower (*Heliantus annuus* L.) under application of bio-fertilizers. *International Journal of Biosciences*, 6(5), 317-323.
- Javahery Mohsen and Rokhzadi Asad 2011. Effects of biofertilizer application on phenology and growth of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) cultivars. *J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 1(11)2336-2338.
- Keshta, M.M., Rizk, T.Y. and Abdou, E.T. 2006. Sunflower response to mineral nitrogen, organic and bio-fertilizers under two different levels of salinity. *Proc. 17th International Sunflower Conference, Córdoba, Spain*.
- Khan M. A., Sharma V. and Shukla R. K. 2016. Response of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) to organic manure and biofertilizer under different levels of mycorrhiza and sulphur in comparison with inorganic fertilizer. *Journal of Crop and Weed*. 12(1):81-86.
- Mirparsa T, Ganjali HR and Dahmardeh M, 2016. The effect of bio fertilizers on yield and yield components of sunflower oil seed and nut. *Inter J Agriculture Bio sci.*, 5(1): 46-49.
- Nandhagopal, A., Subramanian, K.S., Jayakumar, R. and Balasubramanian, A. 2003. Integrated nutrient management for hybrid sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.). *Madras Agric. J.*, 90(1-3): 66-73.
- Pramanik K. and Bera A. K. 2013. Effect of biofertilizers and phytohormone on growth, productivity and quality of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*. L). *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 9(2): 122-127.
- Shehata, M. M. and El-Khawas, S. A. 2003. Effect of two biofertilizers on growth parameters, yield characters, nitrogenous components, nucleic acids content, minerals, oil content, protein profiles and DNA banding pattern of sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L. cv. Vedock) yield. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6(14): 1257-1268.